## 

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

	SAN	JOSE DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF	AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number11mj70785HRL
v.		<u> </u>
RICARDO BLANCO	, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
In accordance	with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.	.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on July 22, 2011. Defendant
was present, represente	d by his attorney Ruben Munoz.	The United States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Susan
Knight .		Susan O.S. Attorney Susan
PART I. PRESUMPTION		
/ / The defend	dant is charged with an offense de	scribed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted
of a prior offense descri	ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) whi	ile on release pending trial for a federal state or local offense and a
period of not more than	five (5) years has elapsed since the	ne date of conviction or the release of the person from imprisonment,
windlever is later.		
This establishe	s a rebuttable presumption that no	condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and	the community.	
/ / There is pr	obable cause based upon (the indi	ctment) (the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offens	se	
A	for which a maximum term of in	mprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
	XVI et sea 8 951 et sea or 8 0	55a et seg. OD
В	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use o	f a firearm during the commission of a firm LED
I his establishes	a resume presumption mat no	condition of combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
ippearance of the defend	dant as required and the safety of t	the community.
No presum	D	
TAKI II. KEBUTTAL OF	PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT fficient evidence to rebut the application presonant on Cal-Carlo Drive.
therefore will be ordered	detained	flicient evidence to rebut the application presonants component
		SAN JOSE
Thus, the burde	n of proof shifts back to the United	ce to rebut the applicable presumption[s] to wit: .
'ART III. PROOF (WHE	RE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OF	D INADDUCADUS)
The United	States has proved to a prepondera	ance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
easonably assure the app	pearance of the defendant as require	red AND/OP
/ / The United	States has proved by clear and co	nvincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will
easonably assure the saf	ety of any other person and the co	mmunity
ART IV. WRITTEN FIN	DINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT	OF REASONS FOR DETENTION
/ / The Court l	nas taken into account the factors s	set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at
he hearing and finds as t	follows:	of the mornation submitted at
// Defendant, l	nis attorney, and the AUSA have w	vaived written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS R	EGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is co	mmitted to the custody of the Atto	orney General or his designated representative for confinement in a
ections facility separate	to the extent practicable from perse	ons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appea
defendant shall be afford	ded a reasonable opportunity for p	rivate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the
ed States or on the reque	est of an attorney for the Government	ent, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
ndant to the United State	s Marshal for the purpose of an ap	ppearance in connection with a court proceeding.
1 1		$\mathcal{A}$
d: 7/22/11		In Trus
" +124 []	<del>_</del>	
		OWARD R. LLOY
1	U:	nited States Magistrate Judge

AUSA \_\_\_\_, ATTY \_\_\_\_\_, PTS \_\_\_\_